

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/762,491	Applicant(s) LUO ET AL.	
	Examiner MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1642	

All Participants:

(1) MISOOK YU, Ph.D.

(2) Beth Kelly.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2,6, 4, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Kelly authorized to amend claims in Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Misook Y 7/24/04

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)